Nucleosome eviction in mitosis assists condensin loading and chromosome condensation
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Abstract

Condensins associate with DNA and shape mitotic chromosomes. Condensins are enriched nearby highly expressed genes during mitosis, but how this binding is achieved and what features associated with transcription attract condensins remain unclear. Here, we report that condensin accumulates at or in the immediate vicinity of nucleosome-depleted regions during fission yeast mitosis. Two transcriptional coactivators, the Gcn5 histone acetyltransferase and the RSC chromatin-remodelling complex, bind to promoters adjoined condensin-binding sites and locally evict nucleosomes to facilitate condensin binding and allow efficient mitotic chromosome condensation. The function of Gcn5 is closely linked to condensin positioning, since neither the localization of topoisomerase II nor that of the cohesin loader Mis4 is altered in gcn5 mutant cells. We propose that nucleosomes act as a barrier for the initial binding of condensin and that nucleosome-depleted regions formed at highly expressed genes by transcriptional coactivators constitute access points into chromosomes where condensin binds free genomic DNA.
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Introduction

In most eukaryotes, chromatin fibres metamorphose into compact and individualized rod-shaped chromosomes during mitosis and meiosis. This profound reorganization, called chromosome condensation, is a strict prerequisite for the accurate segregation of chromosomes. From yeasts to human, chromosome condensation relies upon condensin complexes and topoisomerase II-α (Topo II). It is widely accepted that Topo II ensures decatenation of sister chromatids and chromosomes (Baxter et al., 2011; Charbin et al., 2014). In contrast, how condensins reconfigure chromosome structure in a cell cycle-regulated manner remains poorly understood.

Condensins are ring-shaped ATPases that belong to the family of SMC (Structural Maintenance of Chromosomes) protein complexes, which also include cohesin, responsible for sister chromatid cohesion, and the Smc5/Smc6 complex, which is implicated in DNA damage repair (Thadani et al., 2012; Aragon et al., 2013; Hirano, 2016). Eukaryotic condensins are composed of the Smc2 and Smc4 ATPase subunits, called Cut14 and Cut3 in fission yeast, and three non-SMC subunits. Smc2 and Smc4 form a V-shaped heterodimer in which two ATPase heads face each other at the apices of two 50-nm-long coiled-coil arms. A kleisin subunit (called Cnd2 in fission yeast) associates with the ATPase heads, thereby creating a tripartite ring-like structure, and recruits two HEAT-repeat containing subunits. Most eukaryotes possess two condensins, called condensin I and II, which are made of the same Smc2/Smc4 heterodimer but contain different sets of the three non-SMC subunits. Budding and fission yeasts possess a single condensin complex, which is similar to condensin I by protein sequence. Condensin II is nuclear throughout the cell cycle and accumulates on chromosomes at the beginning of prophase. In contrast, condensin I is cytoplasmic during interphase and gains access to chromosomes only from prometaphase to telophase (Ono et al., 2003; Hirota et al., 2004). Fission yeast condensin shows a similar localization pattern as condensin I, with the bulk of the complexes binding chromosomes from prophase to telophase (Sutani et al., 1999). However, a fraction seems to persist on chromosomes during interphase (Aono et al., 2002; Nakazawa et al., 2015).

Studies performed in a wide range of systems have substantiated the idea that condensins modify the topology of chromosomal DNA by introducing positive supercoils (Kimura & Hirano, 1997), by topological entrapment of DNA molecules within their ring-like structure (Cuylen et al., 2011) and/or by promoting the re-annealing of unwound genomic DNA segments (Sutani et al., 2015). It remains unclear, however, to what extent each of these molecular activities contribute to the shaping of chromosomes. Equally unclear is how
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condensins associate with and manipulate chromosomal DNA in the intricate context of the chromatin fibre.

From yeasts to mammals, condensins are enriched at centromeres, telomeres and, along chromosome arms, nearby genes that are highly transcribed by either of the three RNA polymerases (D’Ambrosio et al., 2008; Schmidt et al., 2009; Dowen et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013; Kranz et al., 2013; Nakazawa et al., 2015; Sutani et al., 2015). Several factors have been implicated in the recruitment of condensins along chromosomes. In budding yeast, the cohesin loading factor Scc2/4 has been reported to promote the full level of association of condensin at rDNA genes and at genes encoding ribosomal proteins (D’Ambrosio et al., 2008). The replication fork blocking protein Fob1, in a complex with the monoplin subunits Csm1 and Lrs4, recruits condensin at rDNA repeats (Johzuka & Horiiuchi, 2009). Fission yeast monopolin associates with condensin and contributes to its localization at the rDNA repeats and also at the kinetochore, but plays no role along chromosome arms (Tada et al., 2011). In chicken DT40 cells, the chromokinesin Kit4 has been implicated in the localization of condensins (mostly condensin I) along the longitudinal axes of mitotic chromosomes (Samejima et al., 2012). In human cells, the zinc finger protein AKAP95 directly interacts with the kleisin CAP-H and takes part in the recruitment of condensin I onto chromatin (Steen et al., 2000; Eide et al., 2002). More recently, it has been reported that condensins I and II bind the N-terminal tail of histones H2A and H4, respectively (Liu et al., 2010; Tada et al., 2011). However, contacts with histones do not explain the pattern of condensins along chromosomes, and contrasting results have been obtained regarding the role played by histone tails, if any, in the chromosomal association of condensin I (Tada et al., 2011; Shintomi et al., 2015). Thus, the mechanisms through which condensins associate with chromatin remain unclear.

The enrichment of condensin nearby highly expressed genes suggests a crucial link between the localization of condensins and a feature associated with high transcription levels. In line with this, chemical inhibition of RNA Pol II reduces condensin association with mitotic chromosomes during early mitosis in fission yeast (Sutani et al., 2015), and transcription factors have been implicated in the loading of condensin (D’Ambrosio et al., 2008; Iwasaki et al., 2015; Nakazawa et al., 2015). Paradoxically, transcription of DNA repeats by RNA Pol I or Pol II obstructs the stable association of condensin in budding yeast (Johzuka & Horiiuchi, 2007; Clemente-Blanco et al., 2009, 2011). Fission yeast condensin appears excluded from gene bodies and accumulates towards the 3′ ends of highly expressed genes (Nakazawa et al., 2015; Sutani et al., 2015). Moreover, transcription by all three RNA Pous is usually repressed during mitosis in most eukaryotes (Gottesfeld & Forbes, 1997), when the association of condensin with chromosomes reaches its maximum. In budding yeast, the inhibition of RNA Pol I and Pol II by the Cdc14 phosphatase during anaphase is necessary for condensin binding (Clemente-Blanco et al., 2009, 2011). Thus, the association of condensin with chromatin appears both positively and negatively linked with transcription. What remains unclear, however, is the molecular determinant(s) that defines condensin association sites and what feature(s) associated with transcription takes part in condensin binding.

Active gene promoters are associated with histone H3 acetylated at lysines 9 and 14 (H3K9ac and H3K14ac) (Pokholok et al., 2005; Roh et al., 2005; Wiren et al., 2005). Although the bulk of chromatin is deacetylated during mitosis in mammals (Kruhlak et al., 2001; Patzlaff et al., 2010), traces of H3K9ac and H3K14ac persist at some gene promoters (Wang & Higgins, 2013). Gcn5 is the histone acetyltransferase (HAT) subunit of the SAGA complex, an evolutionarily conserved modular transcription coactivator that acetylates nucleosomes, notably H3K9, H3K14 and H3K18 (Koutelou et al., 2010; Weake & Workman, 2012). Gcn5-containing SAGA occupies the promoters and coding regions of active genes. At promoters, Gcn5 occupancy increases with transcription rate (Robert et al., 2004; Govind et al., 2007; Johnsson et al., 2009; Xue-Franzen et al., 2013; Bonnet et al., 2014). By acetylating nucleosomes, SAGA promotes the local formation of an “open” chromatin structure where the transcription pre-initiation complex assembles.

Using fission yeast as a model system, we show that condensin binding to chromosomes and mitotic chromosome condensation rely upon Gcn5 HAT activity. Although the majority of Gcn5 is transiently displaced from chromosomes in early mitosis and the bulk of chromatin is deacetylated, Gcn5 and acetylated H3 persist at promoters adjoining a number of highly expressed genes. There, Gcn5 and the ATP-dependent chromatin-remodelling complex RSC (remodels the structure of chromatin) evict nucleosomes and promote the efficient binding of condensin at these nucleosome-depleted regions. Our results suggest that nucleosomes constitute a barrier for the localization of condensin, which is overcome by Gcn5-mediated histone acetylation and chromatin remodelling. Besides providing unanticipated insights into the mechanism of condensin binding to chromatin, our study suggests that the presence of exposed, non-nucleosomal DNA may be an important feature that attracts condensins to highly expressed genes in eukaryotes.

Results

Gcn5 takes part in mitotic chromosome condensation

Fission yeast cells carrying the thermosensitive cut3-477 mutation in the Smc4 condensin subunit cease to divide at 36°C, but continue to proliferate at the semi permissive temperature of 32°C, even though condensin binding to chromosomes is reduced and mitotic chromosome condensation is partly impaired (Saka et al., 1994; Tada et al., 2011; Robellet et al., 2014). To identify the factors that collaborate with condensin, we screened for mutations synthetically lethal with cut3-477 at 32°C (Robellet et al., 2014). We isolated gcn5-47, a nonsense G76SA mutation in the gcn5 open reading frame that is predicted to eliminate the C-terminal bromodomain of the protein (Fig 1A and B). Deletion of the complete gcn5 open reading frame was also lethal with cut3-477 at 32°C (Fig 1A) and with the top2-250 allele of Topo II (Appendix Fig S1A). In sharp contrast, neither gcns5-47 nor gcn5Δ lowered the restrictive temperature of mutations eso1-H17 and rad21-K1, which affect sister-chromatid cohesion (Appendix Fig S1B). This indicates that lack of Gcn5 function does not confer a blind hypersensitivity to any perturbation in the structure of chromosomes. Thus, Gcn5 interacts positively and specifically with key chromosome condensation factors.

To assess whether Gcn5 plays a role in mitotic chromosome condensation, we used a quantitative condensation assay that measures the three dimensional distances between two fluorescently...
Figure 1. Gcn5 is required for mitotic chromosome condensation.

A Genetic interaction between condensin and Gcn5. Fivefold serial dilutions of fission yeast strains were spotted onto complete medium.


C Condensation assay. 3D distances between two fluorescently marked loci on chromosome I were measured by live cell microscopy in fission yeast cells progressing from late G2 through mitosis. Time lapse recording for n > 32 cells for one (wild type) or two (gcn5-47 and cut3-477) biological replicates was aligned to anaphase onset (t = 0) and average distances (± s.d.) plotted. Values are listed in Appendix Table S1.

D Chromosome segregation in gcn5-47 or cut3-477 mutant cells. Cells growing at 32°C were fixed and processed for immunofluorescence against Mis6-HA and Cdc11-GFP to reveal centromeres (Cen) and spindle pole bodies (SPB), respectively. DNA was stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Left panel: single mutant cells cut3-477 or gcn5-47 exhibiting chromatin bridges (b) or trailing chromatin (t). Bar: 5 μm. Right panel: frequencies of chromatin bridges and trailing chromatin as a function of spindle length (SPB-SPB distance, n > 40 for each category).

E Spindle lengths in cells showing chromatin bridges. Boxes indicate 25th, median and 75th percentile. Whiskers are the minimum and maximum (n > 50). ***P < 0.001.
labelled loci located on the left arm of chromosome I as cells pass through mitosis (Petrova et al., 2013). In wild-type cells, the distance between two loci separated by 0.5 or 1.0 Mb of DNA decreased about twofold from G2 phase until late anaphase (Fig 1C). In gcna- 

47 mutant cells, the distances between the two loci combinations remained larger throughout the mitotic time course (Fig 1C), even though condensation was not as severely affected as in the cut14-208 condensin mutant. The distances between the fluorescently labelled loci were also slightly enlarged during interphase in gcna-47 cells (Fig 1C). Reduced acetylation of nucleosomes might relax chromatin fibres during interphase. Alternatively, or additionally, lack of Gcn5 might impair condensin-mediated chromosome shaping throughout the cell cycle.

Consistent with impaired condensation, gcna-47 mutant cells exhibited frequent chromatin bridges or chromatin trailing in anaphase (Fig 1D) and failed to efficiently disentangle the rDNA repeats located on the arms of chromosome III (Fig EV1). These phenotypes are frequently observed as a consequence of defects in mitotic chromosome condensation (Tada et al., 2011), for example in the cut3-477 condensin mutant (Figs 1D and EV1). However, most chromatin bridges disappeared during late anaphase B in gcna-47 mutant cells, unlike in the more severe cut3-477 mutant. Plotting the number of chromatin bridges as a function of spindle length suggested that chromosomes arms eventually achieved complete separation in gcna-47 cells, at a time when the mitotic spindle was 25% longer compared to wild-type cells (Fig 1E). Taken together, these data indicate that Gcn5 is required for the efficient condensation of chromosome arms during mitosis. Because condensation is partly impaired in the absence of Gcn5 function, the complete separation of chromosome arms necessitates a longer mitotic spindle.

The role of Gcn5 in chromosome condensation relies on its acetyltransferase activity

The function of Gcn5 as a transcriptional coactivator raised the possibility that its effect on chromosome condensation might be indirect, that is that Gcn5 controls the transcription of a bona fide condensation factor. However, our data suggest that this is unlikely. The mRNA levels of Topo II and all five condensin subunits were not notably reduced in gcna-47 or gcna5A cells (Fig EV2A), nor were Cut3, Cnd2 or Topo II protein levels (Fig EV2B and C). Moreover, efficient co-immunoprecipitation of Cut3-HA with Cnd2-GFP suggested that the integrity of the condensin complex was not affected in the absence of Gcn5 (Fig EV2C). We also re-analysed available transcriptome data for wild-type and gcna5A mutant cells (Helmlinger et al., 2008) by comparing the mRNA levels of 236 genes that have been reported in pombase (www.pombase.org) to be required for chromosome segregation and/or condensation, or to genetically or physically interact with condensin. Using a threshold of at least 1.5-fold up- or downregulation, we found a single hit: the cnp3 gene, which encodes a centromeric protein (Fig EV2D). However, restoring the level of cnp3 mRNA by ectopic expression did not suppress the coeltherality between gcna-47 and cut3-477 at 32°C (Fig EV2E and F), indicating that the functional interaction between Gcn5 and condensin was independent of cnp3. We conclude that Gcn5 plays a genuine role in mitotic chromosome condensation.

The Gcn5-containing SAGA complex consists of three independent functional modules (Weake & Workman, 2012; see Fig 2A): the HAT module, which is composed of Gcn5, Ada2 and Ada3, the Spt module, which includes the Spt8 subunit implicated in the recruitment of the TATA-binding protein to certain promoters, and the ubiquitin protease module, which contains Sgf11 and Sgf73. To test whether SAGA components other than Gcn5 genetically interact with condensin, we combined deletions of representative subunits of each of the three modules with cut3-477 (Fig 2A). Lack of the ada2 or ada3 subunits of the HAT module was coethal with cut3-477 at 32°C, but deletion of subunits of the two other modules had no effect. This finding strongly suggests that condensin is closely linked to the Gcn5 HAT activity. We confirmed this conclusion by testing the catalytically inactive gcn5-E191Q mutant (Helmlinger et al., 2008) (Fig 2B).

Different HATs can have overlapping functions and Mst2 and Elp3 are partially redundant with Gcn5 (Nugent et al., 2010). Of five additional HATs—Hta1, Naa40, Rtt109, Mst2 and Elp3—tested, none showed an obvious negative genetic interaction with cut3-477 (Fig 2C). However, simultaneous deletion of Mst2 and Gcn5 reduced viability of the cut3-477 mutant even further than depletion of Gcn5 alone, which suggests that the two HATs might be partially redundant (Fig 2D). Thus, Gcn5 functionally interacts with condensin through its acetyltransferase activity, possibly in the context of the SAGA complex, and Mst2 is partly redundant with Gcn5 for this function.

Gcn5 and Mst2 facilitate condensin binding to mitotic chromosomes

To test whether Gcn5 and Mst2 might affect the association of condensin with chromosomes, we assessed chromosomal condensin levels using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) against the kleisin subunit Cnd2 tagged with GFP. Previous genomewide mapping experiments have shown that the condensin-binding profile along chromosome arms in mitosis consists of low-occupancy binding sites and hot spots of association, which correlate with highly expressed genes (D’Ambrosio et al., 2008; Schmidt et al., 2009; Nakazawa et al., 2015; Sutani et al., 2015). We quantified Cnd2-GFP binding using qPCR at the kinetochore (cnt1), pericentric heterochromatin (dh1) and 14 loci along chromosome arms that represent 9 high-occupancy and 5 low-occupancy binding sites. Since highly expressed genes can be vulnerable to misleading ChIP enrichments (Teytelman et al., 2013), we first verified that the association of Cnd2-GFP with these genes was reduced in the condensin mutant cut3-477 (Fig EV3A-C), as we would expect for bona fide condensin-binding sites. We then restored wild-type or gcna5 mutant cells in pro/metaphase by the nda3-KM311 tubulin mutation and determined the chromosomal association of Cnd2-GFP by ChIP. In cells lacking Gcn5, binding of Cnd2-GFP was significantly reduced at the kinetochore domain and at all high-occupancy condensin-binding sites (Fig 3A). ChIP signals were even further decreased in cells lacking both Gcn5 and Mst2 (Fig 3A). The reduced ChIP signals were not due to a decrease in Cnd2-GFP protein levels in gcna5A mst2A cells (Fig 3B). In sharp contrast, Cnd2-GFP occupancy at pericentric heterochromatin (dh1) and at all low-occupancy condensin-binding sites remained unchanged.
To assess the total levels of chromosome-bound condensin, we measured the amount of Cut3-HA on mitotic chromosome spreads. To identify mitotic chromosomes, we used Dam1-GFP, which is recruited to kinetochores specifically during mitosis (Liu et al., 2005). Cut3-HA was markedly enriched on chromosomes positive for Dam1-GFP in wild-type cells (Fig 3C). The amount of Cut3-HA bound to mitotic chromosomes was reduced by ~30% in the absence of Gcn5 and by ~40% in the absence of both Gcn5 and Mst2, even though total Cut3-HA protein levels were not reduced (Fig 3D). This result confirms the ChIP experiment and, importantly, rules out the possibility that condensin merely relocates from its canonical high-occupancy binding sites in the absence of Gcn5 and Mst2. Moreover, we observed no reduction in the association of Topo II or the cohesin loader Mis4 with mitotic chromosomes of gcn5Δ mst2Δ cells (Fig EV4), which reinforces the conclusion that Gcn5 is specifically linked to condensin. Together, these data indicate that Gcn5 and Mst2 specifically assist condensin binding to mitotic chromosomes at core centromeres and at its high-occupancy sites near genes highly transcribed by RNA Pol II.

**Gcn5 and acetylated H3 co-occupy condensin-binding sites during mitosis**

To investigate how Gcn5 could act to regulate condensin binding during mitosis, we assessed transcription of condensin-binding sites by RNA Pol II, since active transcription is believed to antagonize
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condensin binding (Johzuka & Horiuchi, 2007; Clemente-Blanco et al., 2009, 2011). We observed no increase in the occupancy of transcriptionally engaged RNA Pol II throughout condensin-binding sites during mitosis in the absence of Gcn5 or both Gcn5 and Mst2 (Fig EV3D and E), arguing against this possibility. Next, we investigated the localization of Gcn5 during mitosis. Immunofluorescence studies have shown that Gcn5 dissociates from mitotic chromosomes in vertebrate cells (Orpinell et al., 2010). Using chromosome spreading, we measured the amount of Gcn5-myc and Cut14-HA (condensin) on interphase and mitotic chromosomes (Fig 4A). We categorized spread nuclei as interphase, prophase or prometaphase/metaphase by judging the Cut14-HA/DAPI ratio. We detected less Gcn5 associated with prophase chromosomes as compared to interphase chromosomes, but levels on prometaphase/metaphase chromosomes were comparable to those of interphase ones. These results imply that Gcn5 might only temporarily dissociate from chromosomes during entry into mitosis but then re-associate at a time when condensin levels further increase. We confirmed by ChIP the presence of Gcn5 on mitotic chromosomes by comparing Gcn5-myc levels at promoters adjoining condensin-binding sites in asynchronous cells (80% of which are in G2) and cells arrested in pro/metaphase (Fig 4B). 5 promoters were chosen among high-occupancy condensin-binding sites and 4 among low-occupancy binding sites. In asynchronous cells, we detected Gcn5 in variable amounts at all promoters. In cells arrested in mitosis, the levels of promoter-bound Gcn5 were considerably higher at all condensin high-occupancy sites compared to condensin low-occupancy sites. Remarkably, Gcn5 binding decreased at all low-occupancy sites during mitosis. In sharp contrast, Gcn5 levels remained unchanged or even increased at all promoters adjoining high-occupancy sites (Fig 4B), where condensin binding depends on functional Gcn5 (Fig 3A). Whether Gcn5 occupancy increases or drops at promoters during mitosis is therefore linked to condensin occupancy, but unrelated to its absolute binding levels in interphase (compare Gcn5-myc levels at the slp1 high-occupancy binding site to the four low-occupancy sites, Fig 4B). Despite its enrichment at promoters, we failed to detect Gcn5-myc within adjacent gene bodies or at their 3’ ends during mitosis (Fig EV5A), which might reflect a more dynamic association of Gcn5 with transcribed regions (Bonnet et al., 2014). These data suggest that Gcn5 is specifically retained or even enriched during mitosis at promoters adjoining high-occupancy condensin association sites, where condensin binding in return relies upon Gcn5.

To explore the state of chromatin at these promoters, we monitored H3K9ac, H3K18ac and H3K14ac in asynchronous and mitotic cells. Reminiscent of mammalian cells, the bulk of H3K9ac and H3K18ac was reduced in fission yeast cells arrested in pro/metaphase, whilst steady-state levels of H3K14ac remained unaltered (Fig 4C). We used ChIP to assess whether traces of H3K9ac and H3K18ac might persist at condensin-binding sites co-occupied by Gcn5. To control for nucleosome occupancy, we performed in parallel ChIP against total H3 using an antibody directed against the C-terminal part of H3 (H3-Ct) and determined the amount of acetylated H3 (H3ac) as a ratio of H3ac/H3-Ct in cells arrested in mitosis (Fig 4D and E). We found that H3K9ac and H3K18ac levels at promoters correlated with the occupancy of Gcn5, being markedly enriched at the promoters of high-occupancy condensin-binding sites when compared to the heterochromatic dh1 site used as negative control (Fig 4E). H3K9ac and H3K18ac were also detected at promoters of low-occupancy condensin-binding sites, but acetylation ratios were considerably lower. Moreover, Gcn5 was required for the enrichment of H3K9ac and H3K18ac at all promoters tested (Fig 4E). Deletion of Mst2 did not further reduce H3K9ac and H3K18ac, indicating that these histone modifications were mostly deposited by Gcn5. H3K14ac was also present at promoters in mitosis, but, unlike for H3K9ac and H3K18ac, levels were regulated by both Gcn5 and Mst2 (Fig EV5B). Taken together, these data suggest that the bulk of Gcn5 dissociates from chromosomes during prophase, but a fraction of Gcn5 persists at, or is recruited during prometaphase to, promoters adjoining RNA Pol II-transcribed genes that are strongly co-occupied by condensin, where it ensures the persistence of histone acetylation.

**Gcn5 plays a role in nucleosome depletion at condensin-binding sites**

Given that Gcn5 takes part in the eviction of nucleosomes at active genes (Govind et al., 2007; Xue-Franzen et al., 2013), we reasoned that Gcn5 might assist condensin association during mitosis by controlling nucleosome occupancy. To test this idea, we arrested cells in pro/metaphase (Fig 5A) and assessed nucleosome occupancy at condensin-binding sites by MNase digestion of mitotic chromatin (Figs 5A and EV6A) and massive parallel sequencing of the resulting mononucleosomal DNA fragments (MNase-seq). We considered as nucleosome-depleted regions (NDRs) any chromosomal region of at least 150 bp in length exhibiting a normalized MNase-seq coverage depth smaller than 0.4 (Soriano et al., 2013). With those settings, we identified 6,816 ± 732 NDRs of an average size of 275 ± 6 bp (n = 3 replicates, see Dataset EV1). Given the enrichment of Gcn5 at gene promoters, we assessed nucleosome occupancy upstream of transcription start sites (TSS) of genes bound by condensin. We found that all the 47 high-occupancy condensin-binding sites overlapping with Pol II-transcribed genes, identified by Sutani et al (2015), were situated in the immediate vicinity of a TSS preceding a TSS (Figs 5B, EV6B and EV7, and Dataset EV1). Moreover, 40 out of these 47 high-occupancy
Figure 4.
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condensin-binding sites clearly overlapped with NDRs located at the 3′ end of Pol II genes (Figs 5B, EV6B and EV7, and Dataset EV1). Permutation tests confirmed that the colocalization was highly statistically significant (Fig 5C). Furthermore, by re-assigning all the reads from condensin ChIP-seq experiments (Sutani et al, 2015) to NDR versus non-NDR DNA sequences, we found NDR DNA sequences specifically enriched in the fraction co-immunoprecipitated with condensin (Fig EV6B). This suggests that the vast majority of sequences specifically enriched in the fraction co-immunoprecipitated with condensin (Fig EV6B). This suggests that the vast majority of sequences specifically enriched in the fraction co-immunoprecipitated with condensin (Fig EV6B). This suggests that the vast majority of sequences specifically enriched in the fraction co-immunoprecipitated with condensin (Fig EV6B). This suggests that the vast majority of sequences specifically enriched in the fraction co-immunoprecipitated with condensin (Fig EV6B). This suggests that the vast majority of sequences specifically enriched in the fraction co-immunoprecipitated with condensin. This suggests that the vast majority of sequences specifically enriched in the fraction co-immunoprecipitated with condensin (Fig EV6B). This suggests that the vast majority of sequences specifically enriched in the fraction co-immunoprecipitated with condensin (Fig EV6B). This suggests that the vast majority of sequences specifically enriched in the fraction co-immunoprecipitated with condensin (Fig EV6B). This suggests that the vast majority of sequences specifically enriched in the fraction co-immunoprecipitated with condensin (Fig EV6B). This suggests that the vast majority of sequences specifically enriched in the fraction co-immunoprecipitated with condensin.

The nucleosome pattern significantly changed in gcn5 mutant cells. We analysed nucleosome occupancy at promoters upstream of the 47 condensin-binding sites and at the 40 NDRs covered by condensin at the 3′ end of genes. In both cases, we found that nucleosome occupancy increased within the core of the NDR and that the positioning of the two nucleosomes delimiting the NDR also markedly increased (Figs 5B, D and E, and EV7). Again, we observed a cumulative effect of gcn5 and mst2 mutations. We confirmed the increased nucleosome occupancy and the cumulative effect by MNase-qPCR (Fig EV6C) and by ChiP against histone H3 (Fig 5F). Note that nucleosome occupancy appeared unchanged at the 5S rRNA, cnd1 and uge1 genes in cells lacking Gcn5 or both Gcn5 and Mst2 (Figs SF and EV6D), where the binding of condensin remained unchanged (Fig 3A). These data indicate that Gcn5 and Mst2 collaborate during mitosis to evict nucleosomes from NDRs, both at gene promoters and at the 3′ end of genes, which constitute high-occupancy condensin-binding sites.

Nucleosome eviction assists condensin binding

If nucleosome eviction were important for condensin binding, then increasing nucleosome occupancy by means other than Gcn5 or Mst2 inactivation should similarly reduce condensin binding. Arp9 and Snf21 are two subunits of RSC (remodels the structure of chromatin), an ATP-dependent chromatin-remodelling complex that evicts nucleosome from promoters (Monahan et al, 2008; Lorch et al, 2014). We had previously identified arp9-127 and snf21-129 loss-of-function mutations by screening for synthetic lethality with cut3-477 at 32°C (Riesset al, 2014). We therefore asked whether Rsc could regulate condensin binding to chromosomes during mitosis through its function as nucleosome remodeler. We arrested wild-type, arp9-1 and snf21-129 cells in mitosis (Fig 6A) and processed chromatin for simultaneous ChIPs against histones H3, H4 and Cnd2-GFP. We found that the occupancy of H3 and H4 increased at the promoters of both condensin high-occupancy (prl53, exg1, cem33, cdc22, slp1 and snoU14) and low-occupancy (SS and gly05) sites in the arp9a and snf21-129 mutants (Fig 6B). The occupancy of histone H3 increased also at the 3′ end of some but not all tested genes, although the effects were less dramatic (Fig EV8). This suggests that Rsc evicts nucleosomes mainly at a broad range of gene promoters. Remarkably, the binding of Cnd2-GFP in the arp9a and snf21-129 mutants concomitantly dropped at all condensin-binding sites, including SS and gly05, although the reductions were much less dramatic at these sites compared to the other sites (Fig 6C). Note that the steady-state level of Cnd2-GFP remained unaffected in the arp9a or snf21-129 genetic background (Fig 6D). These data strongly support the conclusion that nucleosome eviction facilitates condensin binding during mitosis.

Given that the bromodomain-containing Snf21 protein binds H3K14ac (Wang et al, 2012), we asked whether Gcn5 and Mst2 might recruit Rsc at condensin-binding sites in mitosis. Even though binding of chromatin remodelers is notoriously difficult to assay by ChiP, we detected Snf21-flag at promoters upstream of condensin-binding sites in cells arrested in mitosis (Fig 6E and F). The association of Snf21 at the promoter of snoU14 was reduced in cells lacking Gcn5 or both Gcn5 and Mst2, but remained unchanged at the seven other tested condensin-binding sites. Thus, Rsc is present during mitosis at gene promoters adjoining condensin-binding sites and its localization near most, but not all, of these sites is independent of Gcn5 and Mst2.

Discussion

Condensin binding to DNA is instrumental for chromosome condensation, but how binding is achieved in the context of a chromatin environment has remained elusive. Here, we provide evidence that nucleosome eviction, promoted by the histone acetyltransferases Gcn5 and Mst2 as well as the Rsc chromatin-remodelling complex, is necessary for condensin binding to chromosomes during mitosis and for proper mitotic chromosome condensation. Thus, nucleosomes must constitute a barrier for the association of condensin. Nucleosome-depleted regions generated at least in part by transcription cofactors, such as Gcn5, Mst2 and Rsc, might therefore
Figure 5. Nucleosome removal facilitates condensin loading
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condensin with chromatin was reduced in the absence of Gcn5 out of nine condensin-binding sites where the association of condensin, along with Monopollin (Tada et al, 2011), at the 3’ end of genes. Thus, given the enrichment of Gcn5 at gene promoters, and the physical and functional interactions between condensin and the TATA-binding protein 1 (Iwasaki et al, 2015), it is tempting to speculate that condensin rings first associate with chromosomes at promoter NDRs and subsequently translocate towards the 3’ end of genes, as proposed for the related cohesin complex (Lengronne et al, 2004).

The central domain of centromeres (cnt1) is transcribed by RNA Pol II and is a site of high nucleosome turnover (Choi et al, 2011; Sadeghi et al, 2014). The reduced association of condensin at cnt1 in the absence of Gcn5 (Fig 3A) might therefore indicate that nucleosome eviction and/or dynamics contribute to the association of condensin, along with Monopollin (Tada et al, 2011), at centromeres.

Chromatin-modifying activities in addition to the activities of Gcn5, Mst2 and RSC are likely to take part in condensin’s binding to chromosomes. Although the vast majority of condensin-binding sites coincides with NDRs (see Fig EV6B), Gcn5 and Mst2 seem to assist condensin binding mainly at high-occupancy sites but play only a negligible role (if any) at low-occupancy binding sites. RSC, in contrast, seems to play a more general role (Fig 6B and C). The fact that nucleosome residence increases at high-occupancy condensin-binding sites in the absence of Gcn5 and Mst2, despite the presence of RSC, implies that Gcn5 and Mst2 promote condensin binding at mitosis by evicting nucleosomes from condensin-binding sites.

Like Gcn5, RSC is present at promoters adjoining condensin-binding sites during mitosis and is necessary for condensin binding at the 3’ end of genes. However, RSC deficiency increases nucleosome occupancy strongly at gene promoters but only moderately at the 3’ end of genes (see Figs 6B and EV8). This suggests that nucleosome eviction at gene promoters plays a crucial role in the binding of condensin at the 3’ end of genes. Thus, given the enrichment of Gcn5 at gene promoters, and the physical and functional interactions between condensin and the TATA-binding protein 1 (Iwasaki et al, 2015), it is tempting to speculate that condensin rings first associate with chromosomes at promoter NDRs and subsequently translocate towards the 3’ end of genes, as proposed for the related cohesin complex (Lengronne et al, 2004).

The central domain of centromeres (cnt1) is transcribed by RNA Pol II and is a site of high nucleosome turnover (Choi et al, 2011; Sadeghi et al, 2014). The reduced association of condensin at cnt1 in the absence of Gcn5 (Fig 3A) might therefore indicate that nucleosome eviction and/or dynamics contribute to the association of condensin, along with Monopollin (Tada et al, 2011), at centromeres.

Chromatin-modifying activities in addition to the activities of Gcn5, Mst2 and RSC are likely to take part in condensin’s binding to chromosomes. Although the vast majority of condensin-binding sites coincides with NDRs (see Fig EV6B), Gcn5 and Mst2 seem to assist condensin binding mainly at high-occupancy sites but play only a negligible role (if any) at low-occupancy binding sites. RSC, in contrast, seems to play a more general role (Fig 6B and C). The fact that nucleosome residence increases at high-occupancy condensin-binding sites in the absence of Gcn5 and Mst2, despite the presence of RSC, implies that Gcn5 and Mst2 promote condensin binding at these sites by recruiting additional chromatin remodelers, which are at least partly redundant with RSC. The recent finding that budding yeast Gcn5 acts cooperatively, and often redundantly, with the Swi/Snf nucleosome remodelling enzyme to evict promoter nucleosomes (Qiu et al, 2016), supports our conclusion.

Note, however, that nucleosome depletion is unlikely to drive condensin binding by itself. We identified ~7,000 NDRs in mitotic chromosomes in cells arrested in pro/metaphase, but solely ~400 condensin peaks (48 high and 340 low occupancy) have been identified by ChIP-seq at a similar cell cycle stage (Sutani et al, 2015). This suggests the existence of NDRs devoid of condensin during mitosis. The corollary, therefore, is that nucleosome eviction is necessary but not sufficient for condensin binding. Hence, additional features/activities must attract condensin. Budding yeast
Figure 6. Nucleosome eviction by the RSC remodelling complex facilitates condensin binding.

A–C Nucleosome occupancy and condensin binding during mitosis upon RSC loss of function. Fission yeast cells expressing Cnd2-GFP were arrested in early mitosis, mitotic indexes determined (A) and cells processed for ChIP against H3 and H4 (B) and Cnd2-GFP (C). Averages and s.d. calculated from 3 ChIPs per antigen, each performed on 3 biological replicates. Values for prl53 and ecm33 were multiplied by 4 to facilitate reading.

D Cnd2-GFP levels by Western blotting.

E, F RSC localization at condensin-binding sites during mitosis. Mitotically arrested cells were subjected to ChIP against Snf21-FLAG. Averages and s.d. calculated from 12 ChIPs on 6 biological replicates are indicated. Values for SS and gly05 were multiplied by 3. **P < 0.001, *P < 0.01, °P > 0.05. High-occup. and Low-occup. refer to condensin high-occupancy and low-occupancy binding sites, respectively.
condensin has been shown to preferentially bind free over nucleosomal DNA in a sequence-independent manner (Piazza et al., 2014). It has also been shown that RSC recruits the cohesin loader ScC2/ScC4 complex at NDRs of active promoters in budding yeast (Lopez-Serra et al., 2014). The role of nucleosome eviction may therefore be to provide access to free genomic DNA for condensin and/or for DNA binding factors important for condensin association. Also condensin, cohesin and perhaps all SMC complexes may contact and subsequently entrap chromosomal DNA at NDRs.

Several lines of evidence suggest that the model of condensin loading at NDRs applies to most eukaryotes. In most species, an NDR is present around the transcription start site of active genes (Sadeh & Allis, 2011), the size of which increases with transcription rates to culminate with a disruption of chromatin for the most highly expressed genes (Lantermann et al., 2010; Soriano et al., 2013), which are occupied by condensin. Moreover, the fact that nucleosome sensitivity patterns are preserved during mitosis suggests that NDRs persist despite transcriptional shut down (Gottesfeld & Forbes, 1997). Thus, the positions of condensins should, in principle, coincide with NDRs at highly expressed genes in a wide range of species. In good agreement, condensin preferentially occupies genes that are co-occupied by RSC and Gen5 in budding yeast (Venters et al., 2011), colocalizes with NDRs at tRNA genes (Piazza et al., 2014) and relies upon the histone chaperone Asf1 for its chromosomal association, which, together with FACT, regulates nucleosome turnover (Dewari & Bhargava, 2014). Nucleosome remodellers are components of mitotic chromosomes in vertebrates (MacCallum et al., 2002; Ohta et al., 2010) and a recent study indicates that the mobilization of embryonic nucleosomes by the histone chaperones Nap1 and FACT is necessary for the assembly of Xenopus mitotic chromosomes (Shintomi et al., 2015).

Altogether, those observations are consistent with the idea that nucleosomes constitute a barrier for the initial binding of condensins. The enrichment of condensins nearby highly expressed genes observed from yeasts to mammals may thus reflect the fact that condensin rings make their first contact with free, exposed chromosomal DNA at nucleosome-depleted regions created by transcription-coupled nucleosome eviction.

Materials and Methods

Media, molecular genetics and strains

Media and molecular genetics methods were as described previously (Moreno et al., 1991). Complete medium was YES + A. Gene deletions or tagging were performed using a polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based method (Bahler et al., 1998). All deletions were confirmed by PCR on genomic DNA. Tagging was validated by Western blotting and Sanger sequencing. Strains used in this study are listed in Appendix Table S2.

Mitotic arrest

All mitotic arrests were performed at 19°C using the cold-sensitive nda3-KM311 mutation. Unless otherwise stated, mitotic indexes were measured by scoring the accumulation of Cnd2-GFP in the nucleus (Sutani et al., 1999).

Chromosome condensation assay

Cells were grown at 25°C to 0.5–1 × 10^7 cells/ml. Early G2 cells were purified by centrifugation through a 7–30% (w/v) lactose step gradient and pipetted onto a 35-mm glass-bottom dish (MatTek, No 1.5 P35G-1.5-10-C) covered with 2 mg/ml B51 lectin (Sigma, L2380), and the dish was shifted to 34°C. The glass-bottom dish was filled with 2 ml YES + A pre-warmed to 34°C and placed onto the sample stage of the microscope. Imaging was performed using a 100× objective (Olympus) on a DeltaVision system equipped with a Photometrics CoolSnap HQ camera (Roper Scientific) binning 2 × 2 pixels. Pixel size was about 130 × 130 nm. A GFP-DsRed Dual dichroic mirror (art F51-019) was used in combination with two bandpass filters to switch between excitation bands. Imaging was started 1 h after lactose gradient centrifugation, and Z-stacks to cover a depth of 400 nm were acquired every 45 s for 6 fields of view (512 × 512 px) for 1 h. Imaging data were analysed by determining foci centroids using a custom ImageJ plugin as described (Petrova et al., 2013). Anaphase onset was defined as the frame at which the centromere-proximal foci split. Distance time series were aligned based on anaphase onset. Average and standard deviation were calculated for each time point.

Immunofluorescence

Immunofluorescence was performed as described (Robellet et al., 2014). Images were processed and distances measured using ImageJ.

Chromosome spreading

Chromosome spreads were performed as described (Bahler et al., 1993). About 5 × 10^5 cells were digested with 2 mg of lysing enzymes (Sigma L-1412), and nuclear spreading was performed in the presence of 1% formaldehyde and 1.5% liposil. Immunofluorescence was carried out in PBS supplemented with 1% fish skin gelatin (v/v) and 0.5% BSA (w/v) using monoclonal anti-HA 12CA5 (1/800), polyclonal rabbit anti-GFP A11122 (1/800) or polyclonal anti-Myc A-14 (1/500). Images were acquired using an axioimager Z1 microscope and immunofluorescence signals quantified with ImageJ software.

Co-immunoprecipitations

Co-immunoprecipitations were performed as described (Vanoosthuyse et al., 2014).

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation and quantitative qPCR

ChIPs were performed as described (Vanoosthuyse et al., 2014). About 2 × 10^6 cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde at 19°C for 30 min, washed with PBS and lysed using acid-wash glass beads in a Precellys homogenizer (3 times 10" at full speed with 30 s pauses in ice). Chromatin was sheared in 300- to 900-bp fragments by sonication of whole cell extracts at 4°C using a Diagenode bioruptor (10 cycles 30 s on/30 s off), max power. Clarified chromatin was split in two equivalent fractions subjected to parallel immunoprecipitations using magnetic Dynabeads previously
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incubated with the appropriate antibody. Total and immunoprecipitated DNA was purified using the NucleoSpin PCR clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel). DNA was analysed on a Rotor-Gene PCR cycler using Quantifast SYBR Green mix. Primers are listed in Appendix Table S3.

**Antibodies**

Antibodies used in this study are listed in Appendix Table S4.

**Reverse-transcription and quantitative (q)PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from 10^8 cells by standard hot-phenol method. Reverse transcription was performed on 500 ng of total RNA using Superscript II (Life Technologies) and random hexamers in the presence or absence of reverse transcriptase. cDNAs were quantified by real-time qPCR on a Rotor-Gene PCR cycler using Quantifast SYBR Green mix.

**MNase-seq**

MNase digestion of mitotic chromatin was performed as described (Lantermann et al., 2009) using cells arrested in early mitosis by the nda3-KM311 mutation at 19°C and cross-linked with 0.5% formaldehyde for 30 min. Chromatin was digested with increasing amount of MNase to reach a mononucleosome to di-nucleosome ratio of ~80:20. Mononucleosomal DNA fragments were separated on an agarose gel, extracted from the gel and subjected to massive parallel sequencing on an Illumina NextSeq 500 Apparatus. Between 46,818,494 and 62,258,601 single-end reads of 75 bp in length were obtained per sample and aligned to the S. pombe genome (Ensembl ASM294v2, May 2009) using Bowtie 2.2.4 with default parameters. Detection of the NDRs was performed as described (Soriano et al., 2013). Each sample was normalized by dividing signals for every base pair by the mean of coverage depth. NDRs were identified as regions of at least 150 bp in length with normalized sequence coverage inferior to 0.4 and were fused together if separated by < 15 bps.

**Bioinformatic analysis of nucleosome occupancy and positioning at condensin-binding sites**

For each condensin-binding site, adjacent NDRs of reference were manually identified and their coordinates determined by an iterative process. Manually identified NDRs were aligned with their respective counterparts calculated for each biological replicate (n = 3). When the reference NDR was overlapped by at least 70% of its length by its calculated counterpart, the two NDRs were merged, generating a new NDR of reference, which was used for the next iteration. Reference NDRs, and calculated NDRs detected in each replicate, are listed in Dataset EV1. To compare coverage depth (Fig SE), 200-bp upstream and 200-bp downstream of the NDR were added to take into account the flanking nucleosomes. The sum of the normalized coverage depth at each base was calculated and divided by the total length. Resulting distributions were tested for gaussian behaviour using the Shapiro–Wilks test. The Kruskal–Wallis test was used for comparison if at least one distribution did not follow the normal law.

**Metagene generation**

Nucleosome-depleted regions of reference were fractioned in 10 bins, in which the coverage depth was averaged over the bases. The 200 bp upstream and downstream of the NDR were each resumed in 20 bins following the same process as for the NDRs. The mean enrichments were calculated for each bin in each sample.

**Permutation test**

Positions of the 47 condensin peaks were shuffled 100,000 times using the shuffle tool of Bedtools, without any constraint, to obtain a theoretical distribution of the overlaps between condensin peaks and NDRs. The permutation test was performed on this distribution. The P-value was calculated by dividing the number (x) of permutations giving higher numbers of bases in overlaps than the observed value increased by one (the observed value), by the total number of sets of positions (the set of the observed positions and the 100,000 permutations of the positions): (x + 1)/(100,000 + 1).

**Enrichment of condensin in NDRs**

Datasets of ChIP-seq against Cut14-pk9 (Sutani et al., 2015) n° SRR1564296, SRR1557176, SRR1559300, SRR1559301, SRR1557175 and SRR1557178 were aligned to the S. pombe genome (Ensembl ASM294v2) using bowtie 1.1.2 with the parameters indicated in the original paper. For each dataset, coverage was divided by a normalizing factor, which corresponds to the ratio of the number of alignments over the size of the genome. Note that the length of the reads is unique (48 bp) for all samples. Normalized coverage of the IP sample was divided by the normalized coverage of the corresponding input (both increased by 1 to allow taking into account bases with a coverage value of 0 in the input). Thus, any enrichment gives a ratio > 1, and, reciprocally, any impoverishment gives a ratio between 0 and 1.

**Statistical methods**

Unless otherwise stated, we used two-tailed Wilcoxon and Mann–Whitney test statistics when at least 6 independent values were available per sample.

**Data access**

The MNase-seq data from this publication have been submitted to ArrayExpress database and assigned the identifier E-MTAB-4620.

**Expanded View** for this article is available online.
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